# VALUING COMPUTER SOFTWARE AND SOFTWARE COMPANIES - CASE A1

NACVA Learning Institute, December 2, 1998, San Juan, Puerto Rico James P. Catty ©1998

## CREATION OF VALUE IN A SOFTWARE COMPANY

# **Table of Contents**

Stage 1: Summary of 1994 Valuation Report	2
Stage II: Summary of 1996 Financing	9
Stage III: Summary Of 1997 Sale	14

### STAGE 1: SUMMARY OF 1994 VALUATION REPORT

## **Background**

In July, 1994, Joiner Inc. ("Joiner", or the "Company"), a systems integrator concentrating on E-mail systems for Financial Institutions, engaged Corporate Valuation Services Limited ("CVS") to value the Company as at its fiscal year end, May 31, 1994. Joiner was owned by three partners with holdings of 40%, 40% and 20% respectively; one 40% owner wished to retire. The purpose of the Valuation Report was to establish the amount to be paid for his shares.

The cash available for their purchase was limited, as the Company was relatively small (revenues of \$2,900,000) and in the process of becoming a products rather than services business. Therefore, the partners had agreed that \$1,250,000 of the value would be converted pro rata into non-voting, non-participating Class A shares, with a stated value of \$0.05 a share, but redeemable at \$10.00 each. The remaining common shares, held by the retiring partner, would be purchased equally by the other 40% shareholder and a number of key employees.

## The Company

Joiner had been formed in 1985 as a supplier of electronic office automation services; its corporate mission was "to develop, market and support electronic messaging connectivity throughout the world". Between 1987 and 1992, in conjunction with Soft-Switch, Inc., it developed five messaging Gateways; these connected LAN-based electronic mail ("E-mail") systems directly with other such systems, with host-based units relying on IBM's messaging protocols ("SNADS" or "PROFS"), or with "Soft-Switch Central E-mail Systems". These products were sold exclusively by Soft-Switch to Fortune 500 companies; Joiner was not permitted to sell them, but could use them in its systems integration business.

Soft-Switch's exclusive distribution rights expired in 1992, and the Company began creating a direct sales organization for these products; this was in competition with Soft-Switch, which retained a non-exclusive license to use the technology in its own systems.

In 1992, one customer, a major life insurance company, acquired a smaller competitor; this newly merged organization found itself dealing with five different E-mail systems, both LAN and host-based. The Company was invited to propose a solution to the problem. It installed Gateways, linking the various systems, and also developed the initial version of a "Directory Exchange". This per-mitted users of one E-mail system to enter E-mail addresses on another system in their normal format, as the Directory Exchange synchronized the directories of all five E-mail systems.

As well as selling Gateways and a Directory Exchange, in 1994, the Company became a Value Added Reseller (VAR) of "OpenMail", a Hewlett-Packard UNIX-based E-mail system. The

objective was to offer large organizations a complete range of communication solutions that would link LAN, UNIX, and host-based E-mail systems and other messaging applications.

Year Ended May 31 \$'000	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995 Proj
Revenues					
Products	230	544	1,264	1,312	2,600
Services	1,919	1,837	1,532	1,577	650
	2,149	2,381	2,796	2,889	3,250
Expenses					
Operating	1,871	2,210	2,249	2,203	2,770
R & D	93	221	293	464	625
	1,964	2,431	2,542	2,667	3,395
Pre-Tax Profit (Loss)	185	(50)	254	222	(145)
Income Tax	(43)		(47)	(56)	_
Net Income	142	(50)	207	166	(145)

## **Situation Analysis**

## Strengths

No further R & D breakthroughs are necessary, only continued product development and improvements to create a Directory Exchange linking virtually all types of E-mail

- Very strong technical staff with considerable expertise and product management skills
- Excellent SNADS and PROFS gateway products
- Automated problem tracking system for customer support
- Experienced and dedicated Management that understands the IBM "glasshouse" mentality
- Distribution rights for OpenMail.

#### Weaknesses

- Most of the existing products run on O/S 2, the others on Windows, so the developers have good experience in these, but lack UNIX and TCP/IP skills
- Only a collection of products, not an integrated product line
- Numerous versions of each product, each with limited documentation and information
- Scaleability of Directory Exchange not yet demonstrated
- Customers require significant product support
- Nobody has the responsibility for testing and quality assurance
- No effective sales channels for new products
- Senior Management lacks marketing skills and international experience
- Projected losses in both 1995 and 1996 may lead to diminished support from bankers.

## **Opportunities**

- Complete backbone messaging solution
- To supply VARs and OEM customers with integrated product line that will globally interconnect over 50% of all E-mail users.

### **Problems**

- Possible loss of key people
- Slow growth of OpenMail; Hewlett-Packard could change its marketing model
- International vulnerability concerning patents and trademarks
- Lack of acceptance by large companies due to small size
- Customer dissatisfaction because of inability to put products into production on schedule
- Leap-frogging by competitors will require continued product improvement.

## **Capitalization Rate**

## From Comparables

The closest comparable US company is Attachmate, which Joiner con-siders to be its major competition. Attachmate filed an Initial Public Offering in May 1994. However, CVS does not consider it an appropriate comparison for the Company, as it had revenues in 1993 of \$145 million and 1993 profits of \$21,900,000 (\$0.88 a share).

The Attachmate IPO price was estimated to be between \$13.00 and \$15.00, reflecting Capitalization Rates of 6.8% to 5.9%. As the Company is a much smaller, private firm, and a minority interest is to be sold, the applicable Capitalization rate would be increased to between 9.9% and 10.8%.

## **Build-Up Approach**

The specific risks applicable to the Company are as follows:

### Management

Experienced and dedicated but with limited marketing knowledge; with the changing nature of the business, this area will be crucial to the Company's success.

### Size

The Company is small and competes against larger and better known entities; its target customers, Fortune 2000 companies, tend to be concerned about continuity among suppliers.

## Product Range

At the Valuation Date, the Company did not have a complete product range, but offered a number of individual items; additional products are expected to be developed and an integrated suite for backbone messaging completed by May, 1995.

## Dependence

The proposed strategy involves the Company becoming dependent on the success of Hewlett-Packard's OpenMail. This is a UNIX product, and there is a substantial possibility that PC-based LANs rather than UNIX products will replace mainframe E-mail.

### Location

Joiner is located in Canada and competes in the international market. The bulk of its costs will be in Canadian dollars and much of its revenue in US funds. At present exchange rates, the Company is competitive; however, its profitability would be adversely affected by any strengthening of the Canadian currency.

## Ease of Entry

The connectivity business, which links proprietary products from Joiner with those of other vendors, does not require substantial physical resources, as it is based on intellectual property. Therefore, there are few barriers for an experienced programming team to commercialize in-house developed products; 12% of organisations with E-mail use "home grown" messaging software.

As shown below, the Build-up approach gives a Capitalization Rate of 9.7%.

Bond Rate	7.3
Equity Premium	13.3
Specific Risks	
Management	1.0
Capitalization	0.0
Size	1.0
Product Range	1.0
Dependence	3.0
Environmental Impact	0.0
Government Regulations	0.0
Location	0.5
Ease of Entry	1.0
Profit Margins	0.0
Technology in Use	0.0
	<u>27.2</u>
Growth Rate	<u>(17.5)</u>
Capitalization Rate	9.7%
Earnings Multiple	<u>10.3 x</u>

## **Transaction Based Value**

For a Transaction Based Value, CVS looked at acquisitions in late 1993 and early 1994, four in the connectivity industry and three general software companies. All the transactions related to businesses much larger than the Company, and therefore their price/sale ratios need adjustment. The transactions were:

\$ Million		Date	Sales	Price	Price/Sale
Connectivity					
SoftSwitch	Shares	6/94	23.6 E	54.6	2.31
KEA System	Assets	12/93	9.6	10.1	1.05
Beyond Inc.	Shares	3/94	9.0 E	17.5	1.94
DaVinci	Shares	6/94	5.0 E	8.5	1.70
<b>General Software</b>					
KnowledgeWare	Shares	7/94	130.0	143.0	1.10
Pilot	Shares	4/94	37.0	40.0	1.08
Rapid Entries	Shares	12/93	5.6	7.5	1.34
E - Estimate					

The Company is noticeably smaller than KEA or any of the other firms considered, and only a minority interest will be sold. In view of this, CVS believes that the appropriate price/sales ratio is 0.566, based on applying a minority discount of 23%, and a size discount of 30% to the KEA ratio. On this basis, with fiscal 1994 revenues of \$2,889,000, the Transaction Based Value of the Company is \$1,635,000.

### Net Worth/Goodwill Value

Financial Position	\$,000
Cash	144
Receivables	592
Tax recovery	471
Prepaid	<u>16</u>
	1,223
Equipment-net	<u>204</u>
	<u>1,427</u>
Payables	157
Deferred Revenue	<u>180</u>
	<u>337</u>
Net Worth	<u>1,090</u>
,	1,427

### Value of R & D

Most software companies write off R & D as incurred; however, it usually has a significant value; this is especially true when, as with Joiner, the business is changing from a supplier of services to a marketer of products. Based on an average life of eighteen months for a computer program, the first approximation of the value of the R & D is 50% of the expenditures incurred during the last three years, after tax at the Company's effective rate of 23%.

## Value of Installed Base

The other unrecorded asset of the Company is its installed base. At May 31, 1994, there were 720 installations, including those sold by Soft-Switch, for which the Company receives royalties. Based on the cost of acquiring a customer, each of these is worth at least \$250, giving a value of \$180,000 for the installed base.

## **Indication of Fair Market Value**

Three approaches were considered, which gave results ranging from \$1,635,000 to \$1,711,000, with a mean of \$1,664,000.

		\$'000
<b>Net Income Value</b>		
1994 Net Income	<u>166</u>	
Capitalized at 9.2%		<u>1,711</u>
<b>Transaction Based Value</b>		
1994 Revenue	<u>2,889</u>	
Multiple 0.566		<u>1,635</u>
Net Worth/Goodwill Value		
1994 Tangible Net Worth	1,090	
Three years R & D less 50%	489	
Less Tax at 23%	(112)	
Installed Base	<u>180</u>	<u>1,647</u>

## Conclusion

Our conclusion was that the Fair Market Value of all the shares was \$1,650,000; this was allocated \$1,250,000 to the new Class A shares and \$400,000 to the common. Therefore, the purchasing partner and employees had only to spend \$160,000 to buy out the retiring individual.

## Questions

- 1. As a valuation analyst, do you agree with the approaches selected?
- 2. Would you consider the information supplied sufficient?

## STAGE II: SUMMARY OF 1996 FINANCING

## What Happened?

In the fall of 1994, Joiner asked CVS for advice as to how to in-crease the value of the enterprise. At that time, Management had become aware of the problems of developing for more than one operating system: OpenMail used UNIX, some of the Gateways and Directory Exchange ran on OS/2, while the remainder of the products were on Windows.

An analysis of the principal E-mail systems showed that in 1995 new products would be introduced by Microsoft (Exchange), Lotus (Notes 4.0) and Novel (Open Messaging Environment). As all of these ran on Windows NT, which in version 3.5 was becoming a widely accepted, improved product, CVS recommended that Joiner standardize on this platform for all its proprietary products.

Late in 1994, an approach was made to Joiner by Control Data Systems, a systems integrator serving Fortune 100 companies. Control Data wished to make use of the Company's talents and was prepared to invest \$925,000 for a 50% interest; the funds would be used to redeem Class A shares. As the objective was to make use of the Company's systems integration experience and not continue development of the products, the approach was rejected. However, the offer indicated that the organization and installed base could have a higher value than reflected in the 1994 Valuation Report.

## **Operating Results**

The results for the two fiscal years to May 1996, as shown in the table below, were disappointing. The major reason was that Directory Exchange, due to be completed in October 1995, did not enter Beta testing until March 1996. At the same time, sales of existing products dropped off sharply, which was mainly due to increased competition following IBM's acquisition of Lotus and its subsidiary, Soft-Switch in 1995.

While Management was successful in controlling operating costs, it could not offset the poor sales; these problems were accentuated by the resignation of the VP Sales, who left to start his own business in late 1995. Based on this record, investors doubted that Joiner would reach its 1997 projections.

Year Ended May 31	1995 (Proj)	1995 (Actual)	1996 ( <b>Proj</b> )	1996 (Actual)	\$000 <b>1997</b> ( <b>Proj</b> )
Revenues					
Products	2,600	2,264	4,300	1,590	4,270
Services	650	742	500	627_	780
	3,250	<u>3,006</u>	4,800	2,217	5,050
Expenses					
Operating	2,770	2,356	4,000	2,360	3,080
R&D	625	<u>876</u>	900	639	925
	3,395	3,232	4,900	2,999	4,005
Pre-Tax Profit (Loss)	(145)	(226)	(100)	(782)	1,045

## **Changes in the Market**

As LANs became more prevalent in the mid-1990s, E-mail was one of the major applications. In 1995, the number of electronic mail boxes grew by 75% to more than 90 million, of which about 62 mil-lion were in the United States and Canada.

This rapid growth resulted in a number of problems:

- The largest supplier, IBM/Lotus, with over 20% of installed E-mail boxes, uses four different addressing schemes (cc:mail, Notes, PROFS and SNADS), none of which are compatible with those of Microsoft (MS Mail and Exchange), the second largest supplier.
- Merger activity had resulted in large corporations operating multiple E-mail platforms.
- There is a limited number of means of exchanging messages and documents between users of different E-mail systems.
- It is extremely difficult to efficiently manage the addresses of large groups of E-mail participants within a large organization using several systems so that everyone can communicate with everyone else.

The last problem, directory synchronization, had become such a significant issue by early 1996 that, in a survey by Forrester Research (Boston) of 34 Fortune 1000 companies, 29 (85%) listed "no directory synchronization" as their answer to the question "what prevents further rapid growth of E-mail?"

In view of this and the collapse of the market for OpenMail, the Company changed its focus and made Directory Exchange its principal product, aiming at the target market of Fortune 2000 companies and their foreign equivalents. A survey of 180 technical managers at such organizations indicated that E-mail is one of the three application areas where spending would increase by over

50% in 1996. Many of these firms are planning to implement strategic Client/ Server applications consisting of Notes 4.0 or Exchange.

These new products are incompatible with nearly all existing E-mail systems; therefore, to obtain major corporate sales, IBM/Lotus and Microsoft had to provide easy, flexible migration solutions that automatically converted the directories and other services to their new platforms, while ensuring reliability and efficiency.

The Company had successfully installed version 1 and version 2 of its Directory Exchange at a number of major companies, such as ManuLife Financial, Hertz, and Fidelity Investments. Version 3, which was designed to totally automate the process and be fully compatible with "Notes 4" and "Exchange", was successfully beta tested by USA Today and Exxon in the spring of 1996. Joiner in-tends to piggy-back Directory Exchange version 3 on the extensive sales campaigns underway by Lotus and Microsoft for their new products.

Running on Windows NT and intended to support tens of thousands of users, version 3 allows the SysOp to monitor and manage the whole Directory Exchange process from one single point; it enables the administrator to start, monitor and stop the directory synchronization process at any time, as well as creating the message and trace logs that track and record delivery errors and problems.

## Competition

Joiner's primary competitors are Soft-Switch (a unit of IBM/Lotus), Worldtalk, and Control Data Systems. The Soft-Switch product, "Lotus Messaging Switch" requires a Data General minicomputer. Including software, these systems cost a minimum of \$150,000, compared with the Company's alternative at about \$50,000. As Soft-Switch uses the Company's technology to link LAN E-mail to host-based systems, Joiner receives revenue from virtually all Soft-Switch sales.

Worldtalk entered the directory synchronization market in 1993. Although it is competitive with the Company's product on price for LAN E-mail, it does not have connectivity to IBM and other host-based systems.

Control Data also participates in directory synchronization as part of its message switching business. However, it is primarily a systems integrator and offers labour intensive solutions, which are generally not economic for Fortune 2000 customers.

#### **Transaction-Based Value**

Since 1994, prices paid for software companies have increased sharply. According to an industry database from The Corum Group Ltd. in Bellevue, Washington, the average transaction in

privately held software companies then took place at 1.5 times their revenues, less liabilities; by March 1996, two years later, this ratio had increased to about 2.2 times.

Because of sales dropping off and delays bringing Directory Ex-change version 3 to market, the Company's financial position had deteriorated. At its year-end, May 31, 1996, it had liabilities of \$1,152,000 and not only no working capital, but also almost no shareholders' equity. Applying a price/sales ratio of 2.2 to revenues of \$2,217,000, gives \$4,877,000 before the deduction of liabilities, and \$3,725,000 as a Transaction-Based Value of Joiner's shares.

#### Net Worth/Goodwill Value

<b>Financial Position</b>		\$,000
	<u>1994</u>	<u>1996</u>
Cash	144	-
Receivables	592	503
Tax recovery	471	632
Prepaid	<u>16</u>	8
	1,223	1,143
Equipment-net	204	91
	1,427	1,234
Payables	157	606
Bank Overdraft	-	331
Deferred Revenue	180	215
	337	1,152
Net Worth	1,090	82
	1,427	1,234

#### Value of R & D

From its own resources, between 1992 and 1996, the Company spent \$2,493,000 on R & D. In Canada, R & D expenditures by Canadian controlled companies are eligible for Scientific Research and Experimental Development (SR & ED) tax credits at the rate of 35%; such tax credits are paid in cash to a private company. Joiner qualifies, and, as shown in the Balance Sheet, was to receive a substantial tax recovery.

Adjusting the \$2,493,000 charged to R & D for the tax credits gives \$3,835,000 as the total R & D in the five years, with \$3,146,000 spent on Directory Synchronization. A further \$540,000 had been budgeted for fiscal 1997 to ensure a state-of-the-art product.

In view of the Company's lead in this area, and that it would take any competitor at least twelve months to create a similar product, CVS believes that the value of the R & D was at least \$3,146,000, the accumulated expenditures on Directory Synchronization.

**Φ1000** 

### **Value of Installed Base**

The other unrecorded asset is Joiner's installed base. At May 31, 1996, there were 1,042 installations, including those sold by Soft-Switch. Based on the approach by Control Data, they were worth at least \$350 each, giving a value of \$365,000 for the installed base.

#### Conclusion

Based on the numbers set out above, the Net Worth/Goodwill Value of the Company was \$3,593,000.

\$'000	
Net Worth	82
Products	3,146
Installed Base	<u>365</u>
	<u>3,593</u>

The two methods used gave values of \$3,725,000 and \$3,593,000, with a mean of \$3,659,000.

In April, 1996, the directors of Joiner, with the assistance of a Big Six accounting firm, decide to establish a holding company for the current shareholders and to offer 25% of the existing company for \$1,000,000. This is equivalent to a value of \$3,000,000, for a minority discount of 18% from the mean value.

## **Actual Financing**

The first venture capitalist to review the situation felt that \$1 million was not enough, that at least \$1,500,000 was required, and offered \$1,850,000 for 40%; this valued the existing shares at \$2,775,000, a 24% discount. The Board rejected this and sought a better offer.

A glowing report from Exxon on the Beta test, which was followed by a production order, allowed the Company to convince two venture capital firms that a \$3,500,000 value was appropriate. In July, 1996, one offered \$1,500,000 for preferred shares, with Warrants to convert to 30% of the common shares. The Warrant price would be adjusted downwards if Joiner missed its projections for 1997 and 1998. The transaction closed in early August.

### **Ouestions**

- 1. If you had been the valuation analyst for the venture capitalist, would you have considered this deal fair? If so, why?
- 2. If not, what would you have advised your client to do?

#### STAGE III: SUMMARY OF 1997 SALE

## Here's How the Plot Thickened.....

The Company did very well; Directory Exchange crossed the CHASM, as the product spurred revenue growth from \$409,000 in the first quarter of fiscal 1997, to \$1,318,000 in the third quarter. With sales for the last quarter forecast at \$2,000,000 based on orders on hand, at least \$4,921,000 (97% of the \$5,050,000 projected) would be achieved for the year. With better sales, even though R & D was increased to an annual rate of \$1 million, profitability had been restored.

At the pre-tax level, the Company moved from a loss of \$306,000 in the first quarter to a profit of \$227,000 in the third; for the last quarter, the projected profit was \$775,000. In February, marketing and support deals were entered into with Amdahl, a subsidiary of Fujitsu K.K., and Digital, mainly for Europe; this gave the Company's products a twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week support capability virtually everywhere.

## **Approach by Microsoft**

In mid-March, Microsoft approached the Company, offering to purchase it for \$16 million. I responded with the attached memo, with the names and amounts changed, suggesting a value to Microsoft of \$34 million.

As an intermediary, the Company engaged an investment bank, which came up with \$33,500,000, based on 1996 acquisition prices; it also used a comparison with publicly traded Worldtalk, which gave between \$25,470,000 and \$36,904,000, with a mean of \$31,187,000.

1996 Acquisitions				\$ million
				Price/
Buyer	Target	Price	Revenue	Revenue
Filenet	Soros	102.5	15.0	6.83
Integrated Systems	Epilogue	20.0	3.3	6.06
Worldtalk	Deming	6.3 0.	3	12.60
Weighted	Average	128.8	18.8	6.81

Applied to Joiner's trailing twelve month (TTM) revenues of \$4,921,000, the weighted average Price/Revenue ratio gives \$33,512,000 as the Acquisition Value.

Worldtalk Comparisons		\$'000
	TTM	<b>Run-rate</b>
	3/31/97	3/31/97
Market Capitalization	61,974	61,975
Annualized Revenue	15,896	17,600
Price/Revenue ratio	3.90	3.52
Joiner Revenue to 5/31/97	4,921	8,000
Implied Value	19,186	28,170
Private Company Discount	15%	15%
Subtotal	16,308	23,945
Control Premium	50%	50%
Adjusted Value	24,462	35,917
Cash	<u>1,000</u>	<u>1,000</u>
Acquisition Value	<u>25,462</u>	<u>36,917</u>
Mean Acquisition Value Rounded	<u>31,2</u>	<u>200</u>

# Questions

- 1. If you were a valuation analyst for Microsoft, what value would you have put on the business?
- 2. Why?
- 3. What percentage of my Acquisition Value of \$34 million did Microsoft pay? (a) 96? (b) 92? (c) 90? (d) 88? (e) 87? (f) did not acquire.

### CORPORATE VALUATION SERVICES LIMITED

350 Bay Street, Suite 1001 Toronto, Ontario M5H 2S6 Telephone: (416) 865-9766

Facsimile: (416) 865-1249

March 18, 1997

TO: President of Joiner BY FAX: (416) 999-9999

COPY: Venture Capitalist BY FAX: (415) 999-9999

FROM: James P. Catty

**TOTAL PAGES: 5** 

Re: Value of Joiner to Microsoft

Following our discussions this morning, I am setting out my views of the value the acquisition of Joiner has to Microsoft. All amounts are in US dollars, unless otherwise stated.

It appears that IBM considers Notes to be a strategic vehicle, not only as a messaging mechanism, but also as a means of distributing mainframe data and applications. They are quoted as having 1,000 programmers dedicated to converting PROFS applications to Notes at the rate of about 100 to 200 per month.

From a strategic point of view, the acquisition can give Microsoft four advantages:

1. "Notes Containment" An acquisition would immediately add a tested Notes/Exchange connector and Notes/Exchange Directory Synchronization capabilities to Exchange, in time for Version 5.5 in September, 1997. Migration tools for Notes applications, using these technologies, will be available in three months.

There is no doubt that Microsoft could build all of these and successfully roll them out. The question is how long it would take, including testing, and how many seats of Notes, rather than Exchange, would be sold in that period.

In my view, as development must, in part, be sequential, it would take Microsoft at best twelve and probably about eighteen months to have all three products fully tested and commercially available. In the same period, Joiner could roll out at least two upgrades of all of them.

Currently, Notes has about nine million seats, compared with around two million for Exchange. In the next year, Notes expects to add another six million seats. With full Notes connector capabilities integrated into Exchange Version 5.5, Microsoft should have a reasonable chance of obtaining 50% of these seats. For this analysis, I have used 25%, or 1,500,000 additional seats of Exchange.

A further potential market for Microsoft, with Notes capabilities integrated into Exchange Version 5.5, is represented by the many users of Notes as a Mailer rather than a Workgroup product; these are estimated at two-thirds of the total In-stalled Base. With the better mail transport of Exchange, and Joiner's calendaring ability, available through its license to use the Attachmate software recently acquired by Control Data Systems, it is likely that a large number of existing Notes Mail users would like to convert to an Exchange backbone; my estimate is 1,000,000 over time.

Thus a "Buy" rather than "Build" approach gives Microsoft the potential of selling a further 2,500,000 seats of Exchange, which otherwise would go to Notes, as a result of the much faster time to market. Using an average revenue of \$40 per seat, this opportunity could generate \$100 million in potential revenue for Microsoft, and create at least a \$10 million value for Joiner.

2. "Plucking the Low Hanging Fruit" There are more than 40 million seats of host-based mail systems looking for a migration path.

Some of these enterprise systems are mainframe-based and some are LAN based; all are candidates for Exchange. However, Microsoft considers itself a "shrink wrap" company without the capability of supplying service at the level expected by "glass house" operations. Recent agreements with Digital and Amdahl (pending) will supply Joiner products with 7/24 service capability throughout the world.

Joiner has already built connectors for nearly all of the host-based systems so that they can coexist with and migrate to Exchange. By integrating these and the Directory Synchronization capability into Exchange Version 5.5, Microsoft could outflank IBM, as that firm must rely on Soft-Switch to integrate any orphan (non-IBM) systems into Notes.

Over the next two years, this capability has the potential of adding between 16,000,000 (40%) and 24,000,000 (60%) seats of Exchange. Using the minimum, and ascribing a value of only \$1.00 per potential seat, this opportunity adds \$16,000,000 to the value of Joiner.

The first two strategic advantages give a value of \$26,000,000 for Joiner:

	\$'000
Notes Containment	10,000
Low Hanging Fruit	16,000
	26,000

There are also two other medium to longer term strategic advantages of an acquisition: "Soft-Switch Displacement" and "Application Distribution".

3. "Soft-Switch Displacement" An integral part of IBM's emerging strategy is the use of Soft-Switch installations for linking disparate mail systems. At present, there are about 700 of these, with 400 using technology licensed from Joiner.

Recently, Joiner has started developing software running on NT platforms that handle all the Soft-Switch functions including those in the Soft-Switch ATK (Application Tool Kit) Utilities. These capabilities should be available in three months.

Many companies find Soft-Switch installations difficult to manage as they run only on Data General platforms, and would like to replace them with Joiner Software Systems on an NT platform that also runs Exchange on the same server.

Installation of such Systems would be undertaken by system integrators. Based on a retail price of \$100,000 (\$60,000 to Microsoft) and replacement of 350 (50%) Units, the potential revenue is \$21,000,000; this should add \$2 million to the value of Joiner.

4. "Application Distribution" IBM has positioned Notes as a data and application distribution mechanism. This creates an opportunity for Microsoft to replace IBM 3270 terminals with PCs and BackOffice.

For Texaco, Joiner is developing an NJE Connector that will allow Host Applications to be distributed by Microsoft Ex-change Server. NJE is embedded in every IBM native host operating system. Once Joiner replaces Soft-Switch's ATK (see item 3), all components required to link IBM hosts to Exchange Server will be available to Microsoft on an Acquisition.

Conservatively estimated, there are 5,000 installations using mainframe applications; over time, about 25% could switch to Microsoft Exchange distribution facilities. This type of software is sold through system integrators and would retail for about \$200,000, of which \$100,000 a copy would come back to Microsoft. For 1,250 installations, this represents a potential \$125 million in longer term revenue.

In my view, the Value of Joiner to Microsoft is \$34 million, made up as follows:

	Potential	
	Revenue	Value
	Seats of	\$'000
	Exchange	
Notes Containment	2,500	10,000
Low Hanging Fruit	<u>24,000</u>	16,000
	<u>26,500</u>	26,000
Soft-Switch Displacement	21,000	2,000
Application Distribution	<u>125,000</u>	<u>5,000</u>
	<u>146,000</u>	33,000
Cash		1,000
		<u>34,000</u>

## **Comparables**

The best comparables to Joiner among public companies are World-talk, with a market capitalization of about \$80 million, and the smaller ISOCOR, at \$41 million.

Worldtalk is about 2.9 times the size of Joiner, with December, 1996 revenues of \$14.2 million, compared with Joiner (May, 1997) of \$4,900,000. Worldtalk has a better distribution system but considerably inferior products; in fact, they license certain technologies from Joiner. On a comparative sales basis, Joiner is worth \$27,600,000. Adding a 20% control premium and the \$1 million of cash gives a takeover value of \$34 million.

While having a smaller capitalization than Worldtalk, ISOCOR is bigger than Joiner, with sales about the same as Worldtalk. It uses OEM distribution, resulting in lower margins. In our view, ISOCOR is worth only about 20% more than Joiner, as it does not have any similar strategic products. The major reason for the premium is that ISOCOR is a public rather than a private company.